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1 Motivation

1.1 Relation between IT and organizations

Information systems (IS)
– as a science and
– as technical systems
have the task
to systematically optimize information processing
in organizations (non-profit and profit, that is, enterprises),
to support business tasks in organizations.

Organizations, however, are open, dynamic, complex,
socio(-technical) information(-processing) systems.

Therefore, business tasks in organizations are not so formal
that a complete support by IT would be possible:
The organization level is always broader than the IT level.

| organization level (social IS) |
| IT level (technical IS) |

Technical IS do not possess any technological end in itself.
The purpose is not
to just introduce technology into business environments.
The organization level has a clear priority.

There is no need that technology is part of a technical IS:
There are technical IS without IT, e.g. card indexes.

Therefore, we need methods (1.2) to systematically
– produce technical information systems
– design models (systems analysis, requirements engineering,
  business process modeling, reference modeling, OO analysis)
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1.2 Methods in IS

Methods to professionally, systematically and economically produce technical information systems always include the
– support / management aspect (project management) and
– engineering / scientific / technical aspect (software engineering).

**Project management** has the task to manage
– personnel teams, human resources psychology
– time and money
– documentations.

**Software engineering** has the task to professionally, systematically and economically produce software systems, such as technical information systems.

In this sense, software engineering means a lot more than software technology and a lot more than applying graphic symbol systems, representation syntaxes, such as UML.
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1.2 Methods in IS

Methods to professionally, systematically and economically design models are closely related to epistemology. They are not sufficiently considered of today’s software engineering.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Partly methodic, partly structured</th>
<th>Epistemology-based</th>
<th>Epistemological foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliciting the current state</td>
<td>Systems analysis, Reverse engineering</td>
<td>(Missing)</td>
<td>Systems theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designing the planned state</td>
<td>Business concept modeling</td>
<td>Requirements engineering</td>
<td>Linguistics, psychology, …</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Holl / Maydt, Epistemological foundations of RE, 2007, 48)
2 How to systematically design a technical IS

Basically, an information system is some (open) information-processing system. It can be human / social, technical or socio-technical.

2.1 Principle of key and lock: compatibility of IT and application field

We cannot design isolated technical information systems. They have to be embedded in some organizational environment.

Opposition:
Techn. IS are formal tools and fit only formal application areas. Organizations are open, dynamic, complex, soci(o-technic)al information-processing systems which comprise many informal parts (e.g. humans).

It is obvious that tools have to be adapted to their application areas. Formal tools, however, cannot be applied in completely informal application areas. Therefore, up to some extent, the organization has to be adapted to the technical IS, some formalization of the applying organization is inevitable.

The deployment of a technical IS requires formalization of the application field. Formalize (straighten) lock before modeling a formal key.

A mutual adaptation is necessary.
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2.1 Principle of key and lock: compatibility of IT and application field

A good technical IS and its application area fit like key and lock in order to produce an efficient socio-technical system:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lock: organization level (social IS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>key: IT level (technical IS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IT cannot cure the disastrous management of an organization. **A straight key cannot be put into a crooked lock.**

Aim in 2.2-2.5: an optimal technical information system for a certain organizational environment (social IS).
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2.2 Prescriptive model

Prescriptive models design a planned state to be achieved.

Before we can program a technical information system, we have to design a technical information system.

Before we can design a technical information system, we have to design its application area (organization).

A mere model of a technical information system is not sufficient. As a technical IS is closely interrelated with its application area, the application area itself has to be modeled as well.

The (conceptual) model of the planned state consists of:
– formal model of the lock (application area, organization: at least social system, also socio-technical system)
– formal model of the key (technical information system)

Develop a clear idea of the technical IS’s purpose and objectives.

The design of prescriptive models has to be done in close cooperation with the employees involved (participative strategies).

A good IS expert understands the application areas of the technical information systems developed by himself so well that he is able to use them in their application areas.

Methods for the design of the concept of the planned state: requirements engineering on the basis of epistemology
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2.2 Prescriptive model 2

As organizations / departments are open systems, always use a **magnifying glass model** (problem of isolation):
- soft, blending system boundary
- with precision / magnification decreasing towards the rim.

Accounts receivable system embedded in an enterprise
(Yourdon, Modern structured analysis, 1989, 336)
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2.3 Descriptive model

Descriptive models describe an existing current state.

Before we can design a formal model of the planned state of the application area, we must first understand and model its current state and analyze it with regard to its accessibility to formalization.

Elicitation of the survey of the current state: describe and model the existing socio-technical system:
– organization level (social IS, lock) and
– IT level (if there already is any technical IS)

Analysis of the current state:
– Is the lock pre-formalized (straight) or not (crooked)?
– How, to what extent can the lock be formalized (straightened)?

Regarding formalization, application areas of techn. IS differ in:
– pre-formalization
– potential for, accessibility to, suitability for formalization (cf. deterministic vs. (non-) deterministic, chaotic domains)
– effort of formalization
→ not pre-formalized, scarcely formalizable object domains
→ partly pre-formalized object domains: implicit formal models
→ well pre-formalized object domains: explicit formal models

The design of descriptive models requires participative strategies.

Methods for the elicitation of the survey of the current state: systems analysis on the basis of epistemology, systems theory
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2.2-2.3 Details of modeling technical IS

Cognitive processes in modeling technical IS
(Holl / Maydt, Epistemological foundations of RE, 2007, 53)

→ more in slides on empirism (mayeutic cycle)
The process of designing models of technical IS is a lot more complex than demonstrated up until now. See advanced courses on IS.
E.g., there are different design techniques, such as top-down, inside out, view integration, umbrella models. See course on software engineering.
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2.2-2.3 Details of modeling technical IS
Mutual influence observer – observandum

1. Naïve-realistic view: separation of observer and observandum

2. Critical-realistic view: mutual influence of observer and observandum described as a new system consisting of observer and observandum

(Holl / Paetzold / Breun, Cooperative cyclic-iterative knowledge gain in IS anti-aging, 2011, fig. 3)
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2.4 The two parts of the technical IS level

We now have the necessary prerequisites to produce a technical IS.

The design of the technical IS level (key) consists of two levels:
– information-relevant level ~ business concept
– implementation-relevant level ~ IT concept
cf. phase concept / software process model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lock: organization level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>key: information-relevant level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>key: implementation-relevant level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The business concept has to be modeled in close cooperation between IS experts and business experts.

The IT concept has to be derived from the business concept by IS experts only.
## 2.5 Phase concepts; software process models; summary of 2.2-2.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>main phase</th>
<th>subphase</th>
<th>model level</th>
<th>model purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>analytical phase:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>problem analysis</td>
<td>elicitation of the current state of the soc-tech IS</td>
<td>information-relevant models</td>
<td>descriptive models (systems analysis, reverse engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>analysis of the current state of the soc-tech IS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>design of the planned state of the social IS (LOCK)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>design of the planned state (business concept) of the technical IS (KEY)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>synthetical phase:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT system development</td>
<td>design of the IT concept of the technical IS</td>
<td>implementation-relevant models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>programming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>use</td>
<td>information-relevant models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>maintenance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 How to systematically design a technical IS 10

2.5 Unprofessional work without software process models

About German public projects going wrong:
Mertens, Peter: Schwierigkeiten mit IT-Projekten in der öffentlichen Verwaltung.
Informatik-Spektrum 35(2012) 433-446

Toll Collect (motorways) 2 years late
Health insurance card permanent changes and delays

Administration of unemployed people data hopeless
ELENA payroll data transfer cancelled (data privacy)

eBalance: XBRL-based company profit data transfer 3 years late
Assignment of study places at least 3 years late
Berlin airport at least 3 years late
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2.5 Software process models and information systems architecture concepts

Diaphasic multi-perspectivity

On its way through a systematic phase concept – through a software (development) process model, a model of a technical IS has to be transferred in several steps via different models, each of which in turn is split vertically and horizontally, from an organization / enterprise model on the information level to a technical model on the implementation level.

Every software process phase represents a certain perspective.
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### 2.5 Software process models and information systems architecture concepts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subphase</th>
<th>ARIS</th>
<th>MDA (Model Driven Architecture) by OMG (Object Modeling Group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design of the planned state / business concept</td>
<td>Business concept</td>
<td>Computation-independent model (CIM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of the technical concept indep. of tools</td>
<td>IT concept</td>
<td>Platform-independent model (PIM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of the technical concept dep. on tools</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Platform-specific model (PSM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Siemens Systemhaus</td>
<td>Siemens Amberg (M³ by MID Nürnberg) Application-specific model (ASM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools</td>
<td>ARIS Toolset</td>
<td>Innovator (MID)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.5 Software process models and information systems architecture concepts

Model-Driven Architecture (MDA)
by Object Management Group (OMG)
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2.5 Software process models

Rational Unified Process (RUP)
by Grady Booch, Ivar Jacobsson, Rumbaugh
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2.5 Software process models

V-Model
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2.5 Software process models

ITIL (infrastructure library) Best Practices für ITSM (service mgmt.)

(Günther 2012)
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2.5 Phase concepts; model purposes and levels

Phase concepts or software life cycle models are process models for the professional, systematical development of software in well-defined steps (phases).

1 Model purposes:

– **descriptive**: models of ... describe and analyze a current state: segment of reality
– **prescriptive**: models for ... design a planned state: application area in an organization and technical IS present the desired information processing (test models)

2 Model levels corresponding to main phases in a software life cycle:

– models irrespective of IT details:
  analytical phase, information-relevant level: aims at the detailed understanding of a problem and the construction of a conceptual model

– models with respect to IT details:
  synthetical phase, implementation-relevant level: aims at the construction of an IT system
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2.5 Phase concepts – simplification 1

Phase concept with loops, but without maintenance
(Hofstetter, SW-Entwicklung und human factor, ***, 50)
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2.5 Phase concepts – simplification 2

Simple mayeutic cycle
(Davis / Hersh: Mathematical experience, 1994 [1981], 131)
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2.5 Phase concepts – simplification 3

Simple mayeutic cycle
(reference ?)
2.6 How to change a technical IS – IS anti-aging, changed requirements management

Bottom-up software development
(Sneed, Harry M.: Software maintenance, 1991, fig. 2.10)
## 3 Two sources for model construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>external world</th>
<th>phenomenon, individual experience</th>
<th>model, theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World 1: objects of cognition</td>
<td>World 2: knowledge of an individual subject of cognition</td>
<td>World 3: common knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| perception, cognitive processes (empiristic) | learning rationalistic | |
| reconstruct. memory of World 1 | activations of World 3 | |

| creation, induction | |
| design, influence | new ideas, knowledge |
| | publication |

| Bi/trilateral linguistic sign |
| code of interpretation | meaning, signifié, W2|W3 |
| form, materialized signifiant | object of cog. |

| Model as complex bi/trilateral linguistic sign |
| materialized model representation | code of interpretation | model meaning W2|W3 |
| | object of cog. |
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Popper’s World 1 (reality): empiristic method/approach

Organization, enterprise, department
observation and interviews (W3)
of employees by a model designer
(contrary to natural sciences: only observation)
preliminary description in pre-formal models: natural language abstraction
check whether terminology is mathematically well-defined
final type construction
formalization (degree of pre-formalization is different)
reduction to axioms

often used for peripheral areas of models
often used for individual parts of an organization
(nominalist point of view: enumeration of individual objects)

Popper’s World 3 (models, concepts, ideas): rationalistic method

reference models
activation in a model designer’s brain
analogy-based transfer

often used for central areas of models
often used for standard parts of an organization, e.g. accounting
(universalist point of view: search for general principles)

Final step: integration of individual and reference models.
4 Empirism – Rationalism

Historically, there are two different criteria for settling the truth of statements:
– naive empirism: experience and induction
– naive rationalism: reason and deduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>natural sciences</th>
<th>humanities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>empirism</td>
<td>rationalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aristotle (384-322)</td>
<td>Socrates (470-399)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Locke (1632-1704)</td>
<td>Platon (427-347)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hume (1711-1776)</td>
<td>René Descartes (1596-1650 Sth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Stuart Mill (1806-1873)</td>
<td>Baruch Spinoza (1632-1677)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immanuel Kant (1724-1804):</td>
<td>G. W. Leibniz (1646-1716)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– synthesis of empirism and rationalism,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– transcendental epistemology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konrad Lorenz (1903-1989):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– evolutionary epistemology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mayeutic cycle contains empiristic and rationalistic parts, that is, observations and theories mutually influence each other:
– Observations (experiences) change observation frameworks.
– Observation frameworks (intellect) exert an influence on the selection of observation objects and on observation interpretations.
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